Strategic Transport Forum  
15\textsuperscript{th} September 2017

Agenda Item 7: The Mayor’s Transport Strategy

Recommendation: It is recommended that the Forum:

a) Agree (subject to any amendments agreed by this meeting) the response to the Mayor’s Transport Strategy (Appendix 1).

b) Endorse the initiative to work jointly with Transport for the South East in seeking an on-going Political engagement with the Mayor and Transport for London.

1. Purpose

1.1. To consider and agree the Forum’s response to the Mayor’s draft Transport Strategy and to be briefed on the initiative to strengthen working arrangements with the Mayor and Transport for London.

2. Context

2.1. The [Mayor’s Transport Strategy](#) is the statutory document that sets out the policies and proposals of the Mayor of London. The draft Strategy was published on 21\textsuperscript{st} June: responses to the consultation need to be submitted by 2\textsuperscript{nd} October.

2.2. The draft strategy reflects transport’s key role in supporting activity and enabling growth. The draft Strategy has three key themes:

a) Healthy streets and healthy people
b) A good public transport experience
c) New homes and jobs

2.3. The Mayor sees the draft strategy as being ambitious, one that puts people’s health and quality of life at the very heart of the planning the city’s transport. In this regard the draft strategy builds upon the vision for a better London that the Mayor outlined in ‘A City for All Londoners’ and takes forward the approach set out in ‘Healthy Streets for London’.

2.4. The draft strategy looks to significantly reduce car dependency. It sets out a vision that promotes more walking and cycling as a means of improving public health. It argues that older people, the very young, disabled people and those living on lower incomes are most likely to be affected by the problems associated with a car-dependent city, such as poor air quality and road danger. Reduced car use is therefore seen as helping make London fairer. Streets will function more efficiently,
with less congestion and pollution. Public transport and essential commercial journeys will run more easily and there will be more space for people.

2.5. Overall the ambition underpinning the draft Strategy is to see the proportion of trips made on foot, by cycle or by public transport rise from the current 64% to 80% by 2041 (24 years from now).

3. Consultation Response

3.1. Given the nature of the relationship between London and the wider South East there are a number of strategic issues arising from the draft strategy that are of interest to the Strategic Transport Forum.

3.2. The proposed response is attached as Appendix 1. The Forum is invited to consider the response and agree it, subject to any amendments agreed by the meeting.

4. Strategic Engagement

4.1. There is a clear strategic need for the Strategic Transport Forum to have an ongoing strategic relationship with the Mayor and Transport for London.

4.2. Discussions with the core team supporting the Transport for the South East initiative have identified that there is common purpose in working jointly in this regard. The Chairman of the Forum and the Political Lead for Transport for the South East have written jointly to the Deputy Mayor (Transport) seeking an early discussion on strategic transport issues.

4.3. The presence of the two emerging Sub-national Transport Bodies provides an opportunity to establish an effective strategic working relationship for the wider South East that ensures the strategic issues of the Heartland (and Transport for the South East) are given equal consideration alongside the challenges facing the Mayor of London.

Martin Tugwell
Programme Director
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Mayor’s Transport Strategy
Draft Response of the Strategic Transport Forum


2. The Strategic Transport Forum is the focus for a single conversation on strategic transport issues across the Heartland area. The Forum is jointly funded by the Strategic Alliance partners and the Department for Transport. The Forum’s purpose is to:
   - Maintain a single overview of strategic transport priorities
   - Co-design investment programmes with Government and its agencies
   - Establish joint project teams to deliver strategic proposals
   - Enable a sharing of resources and rationalisation of standards, practices and policies to improve the operation of the transport system
   - Work with the Strategic Alliance partners’ delivery partners to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of scheme delivery

3. The Strategic Transport Forum will form the basis of a proposal to establish a statutory Sub-national Transport Body.

4. There has been, and will continue to be, a strong economic linkage between the Heartland area and London. The Strategic Transport Forum is seeking an active and on-going dialogue with the Mayor and Transport for London (TfL); one that includes the other emerging Sub-national Transport Body (Transport for the South East). A tri-partite approach on matters of strategic significance is appropriate and in the best interests of transport users and businesses across the greater South East.

Strategic Context

5. England’s Economic Heartland is a focus for science, technology and innovation with an economy worth £92bn per annum. The National Infrastructure Commission in its Interim Report (published November 2016) identified the critical importance of the area’s economy to the long term future of the UK economy. However it went on to point out that its future success is not guaranteed.

6. The Commission identified that the economic opportunities across the corridor are of such a scale that in 30 years the economy could be worth an additional £85bn -
£163bn per annum. It went on to identify improved connectivity as being one of two key issues putting future success at risk.

7. The Commission identified the delivery of a new multi-modal spine between Oxford and Cambridge as a ‘once-in-a-lifetime’ opportunity to improve east-west connectivity in support of realising the economic opportunities.

8. Improved east-west connectivity across the Heartland corridor will in itself be of strategic important to London, offering as it does the opportunity to travel between economic centres along the corridor without the need to travel in and then out of London.

**London’s Links with the Wider South East and Beyond**

9. **The Strategic Transport Forum’s support for Policy 16 is conditional.** The Mayor’s commitment to support improvements to public transport that enhance travel between London and the rest of the UK is supported. However, the Strategic Transport Forum seeks clarification on the practical implications of the suggestion that regional and national public transport schemes are integrated into London’s public transport system.

10. It is accepted that there will continue to be a strong relationship between London and the surrounding areas. However when it comes to determining the justification for additional capacity and the allocation of available capacity on inter-regional and national public transport schemes, it is essential that that process recognises that the interests of London must be balanced with the interests of the surrounding area.

11. The establishment of the Strategic Transport Forum and the commitment to develop a proposal for a statutory Sub-national Transport Body provides an appropriate mechanism for enabling a discussion between strategic bodies that respects the interests of both areas, whilst enabling strategic decisions to be made in a timely and effective way.

12. It will be important to ensure that implication of the continued expansion of the digital and shared economies on future travel demand and patterns is properly taken into account moving forward.

13. **The Strategic Transport Forum supports Proposal 70.** Figure 35 identifies a number of strategic infrastructure investment corridors within and around London that are located within the Heartland area. The Forum welcomes the opportunity that this Proposal provides for enabling the strategic importance to London of corridors such as the Oxford – Milton Keynes – Bedford – Cambridge to be added to strategic business cases in support of specific investment proposals.
14. **The Strategic Transport Forum notes the content of Proposal 64** which commits the Mayor to work to encourage investment in rail corridors to accommodate rail freight that currently utilises rail paths within London.

15. Delivery of the East West Rail infrastructure is potentially a key investment for enabling the Mayor’s ambition to be realised. The Strategic Transport Forum welcomes the opportunity to work with the Mayor and TfL to ensure that the investment in East West Rail infrastructure supports this proposal.

**West London**

16. Proposal 70 identifies the Great Western/Reading corridor as being of strategic importance to London: **this recognition within the draft Transport Strategy is welcomed by the Strategic Transport Forum.**

17. Strategic drivers of change in this area include the proposed expansion of Heathrow Airport and the realisation of the growth opportunities identified for the Old Oak Common/Park Royal area (65,000 jobs and 25,000 homes).

18. The Strategic Transport Forum welcomes the introduction of Crossrail (Elizabeth Line) services along this corridor. In addition it supports:

   - **Investment in the upgrading of rail infrastructure linking the Chiltern mainline with the Old Oak Common/Park Royal transport hub.** The strategic case for promoting this has been set out in the recently published Network Rail Route Strategy for Chilterns and East West Rail. Delivery of services on a north-south axis through Buckinghamshire and on to Milton Keynes and into Northamptonshire will be facilitated by the delivery of the East West Rail infrastructure. The addition of ‘chiltern’ services to the range of interchange opportunities provided at the Old Oak Common transport hub will significantly increase travel choices for residents and businesses in the Heartland area (**consistent with Proposal 71**).

   - **Investment in delivering the Western Rail Access to Heathrow.** The Strategic Transport Forum considers improved public transport access to Heathrow Airport a strategic priority. **The Forum supports Policy 20** - that any proposal to expand Heathrow Airport must demonstrate how the surface access networks will be invested in to accommodate additional demand. **The Forum also supports Proposal 96** – through which the Mayor will seek a commitment from Government to fund and deliver the transport measures required to support the expansion of Heathrow Airport. The Forum considers that Western Rail Access to Heathrow is one such measure.
19. **The Strategic Transport Forum seeks clarification on the potential implications of Proposal 61.** Whilst the Forum acknowledges the benefits achieved by TfL taking a leading role in integrating public transport services within London, the Forum does not support any proposal that would result in further devolution of responsibility to the Mayor for local rail services operating between the Heartland and London.

20. In seeking to work with the Mayor and TfL on a tri-partite basis, the Strategic Transport Forum (as the emerging Sub-national Transport Body) considers there is an appropriate mechanism by which issues of strategic importance – such as the specification of local rail services – can be resolved.

**Access to International Gateways**

21. **The Strategic Transport Forum supports Proposal 95** – the commitment to promote the improvement in surface links to London’s airports.

22. The Forum notes and welcomes the explicit reference to the need for longer trains to serve Luton Airport as part of the Thameslink programme. It also notes and welcomes the explicit reference to the provision of a new automated people mover to better connect Luton Airport with the rail network.

**Major Road Network**

23. The Strategic Transport Forum has been a strong advocate of the merits of identifying a Major Road Network – one that embraces both Highway England’s Strategic Road Network and the more significant local transport authority owned roads.

24. The Department for Transport in its Transport Investment Strategy set out its support for the Major Road Network concept and the intention to consult later this year on proposals to take the concept forward.

25. The Strategic Transport Forum is working to develop its proposal for the Major Road Network across the Heartland area. The identification of the Major Road Network needs to be undertaken in partnership with the Mayor/TfL. The Forum would look to use the tri-partite working arrangement as an appropriate mechanism for taking forward the discussion on the Major Road Network.

**Cross Boundary Bus and Coach Services**

26. **The Strategic Transport Forum supports Proposal 72** – which seeks to ensure that coach facilities are well connected with London’s public transport system. The provision of inter-regional coach services is an important part of the transport system across the greater South East.
27. The Forum notes that the Mayor expects that part of Victoria Coach Station will become unavailable from 2023 – due in part to works associated with Crossrail 2 and the expiry of leases. The Forum welcomes the commitment of the Mayor to work with stakeholders to identify and deliver replacement facilities.

28. The Strategic Transport Forum notes the commitment in Proposal 53 to adjust scheduled bus services as part of the co-ordinated approach to reducing car use. It is noted that specific reference is made in the supporting text to redistributing resources to reflect changing patterns of demand. The intention to redistribute some bus resources from central London to outer London as part of this approach is noted.

Customer Focus

29. Recognising the strong linkage between the Heartland area and London, the Strategic Transport Forum is keen to explore with the Mayor the potential opportunity to extending smart and integrated ticketing initiatives beyond London and into the Heartland area. The Forum would welcome an early discussion on this particular issue as it believes the potential benefits to users and businesses could be significant.
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